arXiv:math/0703187v1 [math.DG] 7 Mar 2007

Integral formula of Minkowski type and new characterization of the Wulff shape

Yijun He [*] Haizhong Li [†]

Abstract

Given a positive function F on S^n which satisfies a convexity condition, we introduce the r-th anisotropic mean curvature M_r for hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ which is a generalization of the usual r-th mean curvature H_r. We get integral formulas of Minkowski type for compact hypersurfaces in R^{n+1}. We give some new characterizations of the Wulff shape by use of our integral formulas of Minkowski type, in case $F = 1$ which reduces to some well-known results.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 53C42, 53A30; Secondary 53B25.

Key words and phrases: Wulff shape, F-Weingarten operator, anisotropic principal curvature, r-th anisotropic mean curvature, integral formula of Minkowski type.

§1 Introduction

Let $F\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth function which satisfies the following convexity condition:

$$(D^2F + F1)_x > 0, \quad \forall x \in S^n, \tag{1}$$

where D^2F denotes the intrinsic Hessian of F on S^n and 1 denotes the identity on T_xS^n, > 0 means that the matrix is positive definite. We consider the map

$$\phi\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1},$$

$$x \to F(x)x + (\operatorname{grad}_{S^n} F)_x,$$

---

[*] Partially supported by Tianyuan Fund for Mathematics of NSFC.

[†] Partially supported by the grant No. 10531090 of the NSFC and by Doctoral Program Foundation of the Ministry of Education of China (2006).

its image $W_F = \phi(S^n)$ is a smooth, convex hypersurface in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ called the Wulff shape of F (see [3], [6], [10], [13], [14]).

Now let $X\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ ba a smooth immersion of a compact, orientable hypersurface without boundary. Let $\nu\colon M \to S^n$ denotes its Gauss map, that is, ν is an unit inner normal vector of M.

Let $A_F = D^2F + F1$, $S_F = -A_F \circ \mathrm{d}\nu$. S_F is called the F-Weingarten operator, and the eigenvalues of S_F are called anisotropic principal curvatures. Let σ_r be the elementary symmetric functions of the anisotropic principal curvatures $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \cdots, \lambda_n$:

$$\sigma_r = \sum_{i_1<\cdots<i_r} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_r} \quad (1 \le r \le n).$$

We set $\sigma_0 = 1$. The r-anisotropic mean curvature M_r is defined by $M_r = \sigma_r / C_n^r$.

In this paper we first give the following integral formulas of Minkowski type for compact hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $X\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an n-dimensional compact hypersurface, $F\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth function which satisfies (1), then we have the following integral formulas of Minkowski type hold:

$$\int_M (FM_r + M_{r+1}\langle X, \nu\rangle)\mathrm{d}A_X = 0, \quad r = 0, 1, \cdots, n-1. \tag{2}$$

By use of above integral formulas of Minkowski type, we prove the following new characterizations of the Wulff shape:

Theorem 1.2. Let $X\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an n-dimensional compact hypersurface, $F\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth function which satisfies (1), and $M_1 = \mathrm{const}$ and $\langle X, \nu\rangle$ has fixed sign, then up to translations and homotheties, $X(M)$ is the Wulff shape.

Theorem 1.3. Let $X\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an n-dimensional compact hypersurface, $F\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth function which satisfies (1). If $M_1 = \mathrm{const}$ and $M_r = \mathrm{const}$ for some r, $2 \le r \le n$, then up to translations and homotheties, $X(M)$ is the Wulff shape.

Theorem 1.4. Let $X\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an n-dimensional compact convex hypersurface, $F\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth function which satisfies (1). If $\frac{M_r}{M_k} = \mathrm{const}$ for some k and r, with $0 \le k < r \le n$, then then up to translations and homotheties, $X(M)$ is the Wulff shape.

Theorem 1.5. Let $X\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an n-dimensional compact hypersurface, $F\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth function which satisfies (1). If $\frac{M_k}{M_n} = \mathrm{const}$ for some k, with $0 \le k \le n-1$, then then up to translations and homotheties, $X(M)$ is the Wulff shape.

Choosing $k = 0$ in Theorem 1.4, we get

Corollary 1.1 *Let $X\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ be an n-dimensional compact convex hypersurface, $F\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth function which satisfies (1), and for a fixed r with $1 \le r \le n$, $M_r = const$, then up to translations and homotheties, $X(M)$ is the Wulff shape.*

Remark 1.1 When $F = 1$, Wulff shape is just the round sphere and $M_r = H_r$, formula (2) reduces to the classical Minkowski integral formula (see [5] or [11]), Theorem 1.2 reduces to the classical Theorem given by Süss [12], Corollary 1.1 reduces to Theorem of Yano [15], Theorem 1.3 reduces to Theorem of Choe [2].

§2 Preliminaries

Let $\{E_1, \cdots, E_n\}$ is a local orthogonal frame on S^n, let $e_i = E_i \circ \nu$, where $i = 1, \cdots, n$, then $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ is a local orthogonal frame of $X\colon M \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

The structure equation of S^n is:

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}x = \sum_i \theta_i E_i \\ \mathrm{d}E_i = \sum_j \theta_{ij} E_j - \theta_i x \\ \mathrm{d}\theta_i = \sum_j \theta_{ij} \wedge \theta_j \\ \mathrm{d}\theta_{ij} - \sum_k \theta_{ik} \wedge \theta_{kj} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} \tilde{R}_{ijkl} \theta_k \wedge \theta_l = -\theta_i \wedge \theta_j \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

where $\theta_{ij} + \theta_{ji} = 0$ and

$$\tilde{R}_{ijkl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}. \tag{4}$$

The structure equation of X is (see [7], [8]):

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X = \sum_i \omega_i e_i \\ \mathrm{d}\nu = -\sum_{ij} h_{ij} \omega_j e_i \\ \mathrm{d}e_i = \sum_j \omega_{ij} e_j + \sum_j h_{ij} \omega_j \nu \\ \mathrm{d}\omega_i = \sum_j \omega_{ij} \wedge \omega_j \\ \mathrm{d}\omega_{ij} - \sum_k \omega_{ik} \wedge \omega_{kj} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{kl} R_{ijkl} \theta_k \wedge \theta_l \end{cases} \tag{5}$$

where $\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0$, $R_{ijkl} + R_{ijlk} = 0$, and R_{ijkl} are the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor of M with respect to the induced metric $dX \cdot dX$.

From $\mathrm{d}e_i = \mathrm{d}(E_i \circ \nu) = \nu^* \mathrm{d}E_i = \sum_j \nu^* \theta_{ij} e_j - \nu^* \theta_i \nu$, we get

$$\begin{cases} \omega_{ij} = \nu^* \theta_{ij} \\ \nu^* \theta_i = -\sum_j h_{ij} \omega_j, \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

where $\omega_{ij} + \omega_{ji} = 0$, $h_{ij} = h_{ji}$.

Let $F\colon S^n \to \mathbb{R}^+$ be a smooth function, we denote the coefficients of covariant differential of F, $\operatorname{grad}_{S^n} F$, D^2F with respect to $\{E_i\}_{i=1,\cdots,n}$ by F_i, F_{ij}, F_{ijk} respectively.

From Ricci identity and (4), we have

$$F_{ijk} - F_{ikj} = \sum_m \tilde{R}_{mijk} = \delta_{ik}F_j - \delta_{ij}F_k, \tag{7}$$

where F_{ijk} denote the coefficients of the covariant differential of F_{ij} on S^n.

So, if we denote the coefficients of A_F by A_{ij}, then we have from (7)

$$A_{ijk} = A_{jik} = A_{ikj}, \tag{8}$$

where A_{ijk} denotes coefficient of the covariant differential of A_F on S^n.

Let $s_{ij} = \sum_k (A_{ik} \circ \nu) h_{kj}$, $S_F = -A_F \circ \mathrm{d}\nu$, then we have $S_F(e_j) = \sum_i s_{ij} e_i$. We call S_F to be F-Weingarten operator. From the positive definite of (A_{ij}) and the symmetry of (h_{ij}), we know the eigenvalues of (s_{ij}) are all real (in fact, because $A = (A_{ij})$ is positive definite, there exists a non-singular matrix C such that $A = C^tC$, we have $S = (s_{ij}) = AB$ has the same eigenvalues with the real symmetric matrix CBC^T, which follows from $|\lambda I - S| = |\lambda I - AB| = |\lambda I - C^tCB| = |\lambda I - CBC^t|$, where $B = (h_{ij})$). we call them anisotropic principal curvatures, and denote them by $\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n$.

We have n invariants, the elementary symmetric function σ_r of the anisotropic principal curvatures:

$$\sigma_r = \sum_{i_1 < \cdots i_r} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_n} \quad (1 \leq r \leq n). \tag{9}$$

For convenience, we set $\sigma_0 = 1$. The r-anisotropic mean curvature M_r is defined by

$$M_r = \sigma_r / C_n^r, \quad C_n^r = \frac{n!}{r!(n-r)!}. \tag{10}$$

Using the characteristic polynomial of S_F, σ_r is defined by

$$\det(tI - S_F) = \sum_{r=0}^{n} (-1)^r \sigma_r t^{n-r}. \tag{11}$$

So, we have

$$\sigma_r = \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_r; j_1,\cdots,j_r} \delta_{i_1 \cdots i_r}^{j_1 \cdots j_r} s_{i_1 j_1} \cdots s_{i_r j_r}, \tag{12}$$

where $\delta_{i_1 \cdots i_r}^{j_1 \cdots j_r}$ is the usual generalized Kronecker symbol, i.e., $\delta_{i_1 \cdots i_r}^{j_1 \cdots j_r}$ equals +1 (resp. -1) if $i_1 \cdots i_r$ are distinct and $(j_1 \cdots j_r)$ is an even (resp. odd) permutation of $(i_1 \cdots i_r)$ and in other cases it equals zero.

We define $(F \circ \nu)_i, (F_i \circ \nu)_j, (A_{ij} \circ \nu)_k$ by

$$\mathrm{d}(F \circ \nu) = \sum_i (F \circ \nu)_i \omega_i, \tag{13}$$

$$\mathrm{d}(F_i\circ\nu)+\sum_j (F_j\circ\nu)\omega_{ji}=\sum_j (F_i\circ\nu)_j\omega_j, \tag{14}$$

$$\mathrm{d}(A_{ij}\circ\nu)+\sum(A_{kj}\circ\nu)\omega_{ki}+\sum_k (A_{ik}\circ\nu)\omega_{kj}=\sum_k (A_{ij}\circ\nu)_k\omega_k. \tag{15}$$

By use of (3), (5) and (6), we have by a direct calculation

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}(F\circ\nu)_i=-\sum_j h_{ij}F_j\circ\nu,\\ (F_i\circ\nu)_j=-\sum_k h_{jk}F_{ik}\circ\nu,\\ (A_{ij}\circ\nu)_k=-\sum_l h_{kl}A_{ijl}\circ\nu.\end{array}\right. \tag{16}$$

§3 Some Lemmas

We introduce an important operator P_r by

$$P_r=\sigma_r I-\sigma_{r-1}S_F+\cdots+(-1)^r S_F^r,\quad r=0,1,\cdots,n. \tag{17}$$

We have the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.1. $(S_FA_F)^t=S_FA_F$, $(\mathrm{d}\nu\circ S_F)^t=\mathrm{d}\nu\circ S_F$, $s_{ijk}=s_{ikj}$, $\sum_l h_{il}s_{lk}=\sum_l h_{kl}s_{li}$, $\sum_l h_{kl}(P_r)_{lj}=\sum_l h_{jl}(P_r)_{lk}$, where s_{ijk} are the components of the covariant derivarive of s_{ij}.

Proof. Since $S_F=-A_F\circ\mathrm{d}\nu$, and A_F, $\mathrm{d}\nu$ are symmetric operators, the first two identities are obvious. From the symmetry property (8) of A_{ijk}, $h_{ij}=h_{ji}$ and Codazzi equation $h_{ijk}=h_{ikj}$, we have by use of (16)

$$\begin{array}{rcl} s_{ijk} & = & (\sum_l A_{il}h_{lj})_k=\sum_l(A_{il}\circ\nu)_k h_{lj}+\sum_l A_{il}h_{ljk}\\ & = & -\sum_{l,m}(A_{ilm}\circ\nu)h_{lj}h_{km}+\sum_l A_{il}h_{ljk}\\ & = & \sum_m(A_{im}\circ\nu)_j h_{mk}+\sum_l A_{il}h_{lkj}=(\sum_l A_{il}h_{lk})_j=s_{ikj}.\end{array} \tag{18}$$

$$\sum_l h_{il}s_{lk}=\sum_{l,m}h_{il}A_{lm}h_{mk}=\sum_{l,m}h_{km}A_{ml}h_{li}=\sum_l h_{kl}s_{li}.$$

By use of the above formula and the definition of P_r, we get the last identity in Lemma 3.1. □

Lemma 3.2. The matrix of P_r is given by:

$$(P_r)_{ij}=\frac{1}{r!}\sum_{i_1,\cdots,i_r;j_1,\cdots,j_r}\delta^{j_1\cdots j_r i}_{i_1\cdots i_r j}s_{i_1j_1}\cdots s_{i_rj_r} \tag{19}$$

Proof. We prove Lemma 3.2 inductively. For $r = 0$, it is easy to check that (19) is true.

We can check directly

$$\delta^{j_1 \cdots j_q}_{i_1 \cdots i_q} = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^{j_1}_{i_1} & \delta^{j_2}_{i_1} & \cdots & \delta^{j_{q-1}}_{i_1} & \delta^{j_q}_{i_1} \\ \delta^{j_1}_{i_2} & \delta^{j_2}_{i_2} & \cdots & \delta^{j_{q-1}}_{i_2} & \delta^{j_q}_{i_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta^{j_1}_{i_{q-1}} & \delta^{j_2}_{i_{q-1}} & \cdots & \delta^{j_{q-1}}_{i_{q-1}} & \delta^{j_q}_{i_{q-1}} \\ \delta^{j_1}_{i_q} & \delta^{j_2}_{i_q} & \cdots & \delta^{j_{q-1}}_{i_q} & \delta^{j_q}_{i_q} \end{vmatrix} \tag{20}$$

Assume that (19) is true for $r = k$, we only need to show that it is also true for $r = k+1$. For $r = k + 1$, Using (12) and (20), we have

$$\begin{aligned} RHS \text{ of } (19) &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_{k+1}; j_1, \cdots, j_{k+1}} \delta^{j_1 \cdots j_{k+1} i}_{i_1 \cdots i_{k+1} j} s_{i_1 j_1} \cdots s_{i_{k+1} j_{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \sum \begin{vmatrix} \delta^{j_1}_{i_1} & \delta^{j_2}_{i_1} & \cdots & \delta^{j_{k+1}}_{i_1} & \delta^{i}_{i_1} \\ \delta^{j_1}_{i_2} & \delta^{j_2}_{i_2} & \cdots & \delta^{j_{k+1}}_{i_2} & \delta^{i}_{i_2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \delta^{j_1}_{i_{k+1}} & \delta^{j_2}_{i_{k+1}} & \cdots & \delta^{j_{k+1}}_{i_{k+1}} & \delta^{i}_{i_{k+1}} \\ \delta^{j_1}_{j} & \delta^{j_2}_{j} & \cdots & \delta^{j_{k+1}}_{j} & \delta^{i}_{j} \end{vmatrix} s_{i_1 j_1} \cdots s_{i_{k+1} j_{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \sum (\delta^i_j \delta^{j_1 \cdots j_{k+1}}_{i_1 \cdots i_{k+1}} - \delta^{j_{k+1}}_j \delta^{j_1 \cdots j_k i}_{i_1 \cdots i_k i_{k+1}} + \cdots) s_{i_1 j_1} \cdots s_{i_{k+1} j_{k+1}} \\ &= \sigma_{k+1} \delta_{ij} - \frac{1}{(k+1)!} \sum \delta^{j_{k+1}}_j \delta^{j_1 \cdots j_k i}_{i_1 \cdots i_k i_{k+1}} s_{i_1 j_1} \cdots s_{i_{k+1} j_{k+1}} + \cdots \\ &= \sigma_{k+1} \delta_{ij} - \sum (P_k)_{i i_{k+1}} s_{i_{k+1} j} \\ &= (P_{k+1})_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.3. For each r, we have

(i). $\sum_j (P_r)_{jij} = 0$,

(ii). $\mathrm{tr}(P_r S_F) = (r+1)\sigma_{r+1}$,

(iii). $\mathrm{tr}(P_r) = (n-r)\sigma_r$.

Proof. (i). Noting (j, j_r) is skew symmetric in $\delta^{j_1 \cdots j_r j}_{i_1 \cdots i_r i}$ and (j, j_r) is symmetric in $s_{i_1 j_1} \cdots s_{i_r j_r j}$ (from Lemma 3.1), we have

$$\sum_j (P_r)_{jij} = \frac{1}{(r-1)!} \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_r; j_1, \cdots, j_r; j} \delta^{j_1 \cdots j_r j}_{i_1 \cdots i_r i} s_{i_1 j_1} \cdots s_{i_r j_r j} = 0.$$

(ii). Using (19) and (12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{tr}(P_r S_F) &= \textstyle\sum_{ij} (P_r)_{ij} s_{ji} \\ &= \textstyle\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{i_1, \cdots, i_r; j_1, \cdots, j_r; i, j} \delta^{j_1 \cdots j_r i}_{i_1 \cdots i_r j} s_{i_1 j_1} \cdots s_{i_r j_r} s_{ji} \\ &= (r+1)\sigma_{r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(iii). Using (ii) and the definition of P_r, we have

$$\mathrm{tr}(P_r) = \mathrm{tr}(\sigma_r I) - \mathrm{tr}(P_{r-1}S_F) = n\sigma_r - r\sigma_r = (n-r)\sigma_r.$$

□

Remark 3.1 When $F = 1$, Lemma 3.3 was a well-known result (for example, see Barbosa-Colares [1]).

Lemma 3.4. If $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n = \mathrm{const} \neq 0$, then up to translations and homotheties, $X(M)$ is the Wulff shape.

Proof. Choose a local orthogonal frame $e_1, e_2, \cdots, e_n$ such that A_F is diagonalized:

$$A_F = diag(\mu_1, \cdots, \mu_n), \tag{21}$$

where $\mu_i > 0$ for $i = 1, \cdots, n$ by the convexity condition. Then we have $S_{ij} = \mu_i h_{ij}$. From (10) and (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = M_1^2 - M_2 &= (\tfrac{1}{n}\textstyle\sum_i \mu_i h_{ii})^2 - \tfrac{2}{n(n-1)}\textstyle\sum_{i<j}\mu_i\mu_j(h_{ii}h_{jj} - h_{ij}^2) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{n^2(n-1)}\{(n-1)(\textstyle\sum_i \mu_i h_{ii})^2 - 2n\textstyle\sum_{i<j}\mu_i\mu_j(h_{ii}h_{jj} - h_{ij}^2)\} \\ &= \tfrac{1}{n^2(n-1)}\textstyle\sum_{i<j}\{(\mu_i h_{ii} - \mu_j h_{jj})^2 + 2n\mu_i\mu_j h_{ij}^2\}, \end{aligned}$$

so, $\mu_1 h_{11} = \mu_2 h_{22} = \cdots = \mu_n h_{nn}$ and $h_{ij} = 0$ when $i \neq j$. Then, from [10] or [3], [14], up to translations and homotheties, $X(M)$ is the Wulff shape. □

§4 Proofs of Theorem 1.1-Theorem 1.5

Proof of Theorem 1.1 By use of (5), we have

$$\langle X, \nu\rangle_i = -\sum_j h_{ij}\langle X, e_j\rangle, \quad \langle X, e_j\rangle_i = \delta_{ij} + h_{ij}\langle X, \nu\rangle, \tag{22}$$

so, from (16), Lemma 3.1 and (i), (ii), (iii) of Lemma 3.3, we have the following calculation

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathrm{div}\{P_r(\langle X,\nu\rangle\,\mathrm{grad}_{S^n} F - F\,\mathrm{grad}\,|X|^2/2)\} \\ = \;& \textstyle\sum_{ij}\{(P_r)_{ij}(\langle X,\nu\rangle F_j - F\langle X, e_j\rangle)\}_i \\ = \;& \textstyle\sum_{ij}(P_r)_{ij}\{-\sum_k h_{ik}(\langle X, e_k\rangle F_j + \langle X,\nu\rangle F_{jk} - F_k\langle X, e_j\rangle) - F\delta_{ij} - Fh_{ij}\langle X,\nu\rangle\} \\ = \;& -\textstyle\sum_{ijk} h_{ki}(P_r)_{ij}\langle X, e_k\rangle F_j + \sum_{ijk} h_{ki}(P_r)_{ij}\langle X, e_j\rangle F_k \\ & -\langle X,\nu\rangle\textstyle\sum_{ijk}(P_r)_{ij}(F_{jk} + F\delta_{jk})h_{ki} - F\sum_i (P_r)_{ii} \\ = \;& -\textstyle\sum_{ijk} h_{ki}(P_r)_{ij}\langle X, e_k\rangle F_j + \sum_{ijk} h_{ji}(P_r)_{ik}\langle X, e_k\rangle F_j \\ & -\langle X,\nu\rangle\textstyle\sum_{ijk}(P_r)_{ij}A_{jk}h_{ki} - F\sum_i (P_r)_{ii} \\ = \;& -\langle X,\nu\rangle\textstyle\sum_{ij}(P_r)_{ij}s_{ji} - F\sum_i (P_r)_{ii} \\ = \;& -\langle X,\nu\rangle\,\mathrm{tr}(P_r S_F) - F\,\mathrm{tr}(P_r) \\ = \;& -\langle X,\nu\rangle(r+1)\sigma_{r+1} - F(n-r)\sigma_r \\ = \;& -(n-r)C_n^r(FM_r + M_{r+1}\langle X,\nu\rangle). \end{aligned}$$

Integrating the above formula over M, we get (2) by use of Stokes Theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.2: From (2), we have

$$\int_M (F + M_1\langle X,\nu\rangle)\mathrm{d}A_X = 0, \tag{23}$$

$$\int_M (FM_1 + M_2\langle X,\nu\rangle)\mathrm{d}A_X = 0. \tag{24}$$

By the assumption $M_1 =$ constant, we get from (23) and (24)

$$\int_M \langle X,\nu\rangle(M_1^2 - M_2)\mathrm{d}A_X = 0. \tag{25}$$

On the other hand,

$$M_1^2 - M_2 = \frac{1}{n^2(n-1)}\sum_{j<i}(\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \geq 0. \tag{26}$$

Thus, if $\langle X,\nu\rangle$ has fixed sign, then $M_1^2 - M_2 = 0$, so

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n.$$

Thus, from Lemma 3.4, up to translations and homotheties, $X(M)$ is the Wulff shape.

Proof of Theorem 1.3: We have the fact that if M is compact and $M_r > 0$ then

$$M_{r-1} \geq M_r^{(r-1)/r}, \quad 2 \leq r \leq n \tag{27}$$

with equality holds if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n$ on M (cf. [9], [2]). Indeed (27) holds if $M_r \equiv$ const, since M is compact, there exists a point p_0 on M such that all principal curvatures are positive at p_0, so all anisotropic principal curvatures are positive at p_0. Applying (27) inductively, one sees that if $M_r \equiv$ const, then

$$M_r \leq M_1^r, \tag{28}$$

here again equality holds if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n$.

Integrating $FM_r^{(r-1)/r} \leq FM_{r-1}$ over M, using (2) and $M_r =$ constant, we get

$$M_r^{(r-1)/r}\int_M F\mathrm{d}A_X \leq \int_M FM_{r-1}\mathrm{d}A_X = -M_r\int_M \langle X,\nu\rangle\mathrm{d}A_X. \tag{29}$$

On the other hand, our assumption $M_1 =$ constant (thus $M_1 > 0$) and (23) implies

$$\int_M \langle X,\nu\rangle\mathrm{d}A_X = -\frac{1}{M_1}\int_M F dA_X. \tag{30}$$

Putting (30) into (29), we get

$$M_1^r \leq M_r. \tag{31}$$

Therefore equality holds in (28) and $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n$ on M. Thus, from Lemma 3.4, up to translations and homotheties, $X(M)$ is the Wulff shape.

Proof of Theorem 1.4: From (2), we have

$$\int_M (FM_k + M_{k+1}\langle X, \nu\rangle)\mathrm{d}A_X = 0. \tag{32}$$

$$\int_M (FM_r + M_{r+1}\langle X, \nu\rangle)\mathrm{d}A_X = 0. \tag{33}$$

From the assumptions $\frac{M_r}{M_k} = \text{constant}$, $\frac{M_r}{M_k} \times (32) - (33)$ implies

$$\int_M \langle X, \nu\rangle (M_{r+1} - \frac{M_r}{M_k} M_{k+1})\mathrm{d}A_X = 0. \tag{34}$$

From the convexity of M, all the principal curvatures of M are positive, so all the anisotropic principal curvature are positive, we have $M_l > 0$, $0 \leq l \leq n$ on M. From

$$M_k \cdot M_{k+2} \leq M_{k+1}^2, \quad \cdots, \quad M_{r-1}M_{r+1} \leq M_r^2, \tag{35}$$

where equality holds in one of (35) if and only if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n$, we can check

$$M_k M_{r+1} \leq M_{k+1} M_r,$$

that is

$$M_{r+1} - \frac{M_r}{M_k} M_{k+1} \leq 0. \tag{36}$$

On the other hand, we can choose the position of origin O such that $\langle X, \nu\rangle$ has fixed sign. Thus, from (34) and (36), $M_k M_{r+1} = M_{k+1} M_r$, so $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \cdots = \lambda_n$. Thus, from Lemma 3.4, up to translations and homotheties, $X(M)$ is the Wulff shape.

Proof of Theorem 1.5: From proof of Theorem 1.3, we know that there exists a point $p_0 \in M$ such that the anisotropic principal curvature $\lambda_i(p_0) > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. From $\frac{M_k}{M_n} = \text{constant}$, we have $\frac{M_k}{M_n} = \frac{M_k}{M_n}(p_0) > 0$. Thus $M_n \neq 0$ on M, by the continuity of λ_i, we have $\lambda_i > 0$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, on M. Therefore all principal curvatures of M are positive on M and M is convex. Theorem 1.5 follows from Theorem 1.4.

References

[1] J. L. M. Barbosa and A. G. Colares, *Stability of hypersurfaces with constant r-mean curvature*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 15(1997), 277-297.

[2] J. Choe, *Sufficient conditions for constant mean curvature surfaces to be round*, Math. Ann. 323(2002), 143-156.

[3] U. Clarenz, *The Wulff-shape minimizes an anisotropicWillmore functional.* Interfaces and Free Boundaries 6, 35-359 (2004).

[4] G. H. Hardy, J. E. Littlewood, and G. Polya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge Univ. Press, London, 1934.

[5] C. C. Hsiung, *Some integral formulas for closed hypersurfaces*, Math. Scand. 2 (1954), 286-294.

[6] M. Koiso and B. Palmer, *Geometry and stability of surfaces with constant anisotropic mean curvature*, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 54 (2005), 1817-1852.

[7] H. Li, *Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in space forms*, Math. Ann. 305(1996), 665-672.

[8] H. Li, *Global rigidity theorems of hypersurfaces*, Ark. Math. 35(1997), 327-351.

[9] S. Montiel and A. Ros, *Compact hypersurfaces: The Alexandrov theorem for higher order mean curvatures*, in Lawson, B. and Tenenblat, K. (eds), Differential Geometry, Pitman Monographs, Vol. 52, Longman, Essex, 1991, pp. 279-296.

[10] B. Palmer, *Stability of the Wulff shape*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 3661-3667.

[11] Udo Simon, *Minkowskische integralformeln und ihre Anwendungen in der Differentialgeometrie in Grossen*, Math. Ann. 173(1967), 307-321.

[12] W. Süss, *Zur relativen Differentialgeometrie*, V., Tôhoku Math. J. 30(1929), 202-209.

[13] J. Taylor, *Crystalline variational problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 84(1978), 568-588.

[14] Sven Winklmann. *A note on the stability of the Wulff shape*. Arch. Math. 87 (2006) 272-279.

[15] K. Yano, *Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry*, Marcel, Dekker,N. Y, 1970.

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Tsinghua University
Beijing 100084
P. R. China
`yjhe@math.tsinghua.edu.cn`
`hli@math.tsinghua.edu.cn`